



## Responsible Investment Policy (“ESG Policy”)

### I. Background

IPI Partners, LLC (“IPI” or the “Firm”) is an investment firm that provides investment management and advisory services to affiliated private equity funds (each, a “Fund” and together, the “Funds”) focused on making real estate investments in data centers and connectivity-related real assets. In partnership with leading global technology companies, the Funds acquire, develop, lease, and operate critical digital infrastructure to support the present and promise of the cloud.

IPI is committed to investing responsibly and believes environmental, social, and governance (“ESG”) factors are important criteria for managing risk and optimizing value across its global portfolio. IPI seeks to focus on ESG issues that it believes have a material impact on business, environmental, and/or social performance, as described below in Section 2.

The purpose of this Policy is to define IPI’s approach to integrating the consideration of ESG risks and value creation opportunities into investments made through its Funds for the benefit of its stakeholders.

### II. Scope and Materiality<sup>1</sup>

IPI recognizes that as a capital provider to energy, water, and land-intensive businesses and built environments, it should consider the sustainability attributes of Fund investments. Therefore, IPI seeks to focus on ESG issues that are material<sup>2</sup> as defined by the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (“SASB”) and the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosure (“TCFD”) as they relate to factors that are likely to impact the financial condition or operating performance of Fund investments in assets or portfolio companies.

Under each broad category of Environmental, Social, and Governance considerations, IPI will seek to evaluate material risk and opportunity areas, which may include:

- **Environmental:** Consideration of climate change risks and opportunities; energy management; water efficiency; compliance with environmental regulation and best practices; possession and maintenance of all required industry-specific certifications
- **Social:** Compliance with applicable labor laws and regulations and human rights principles; fostering an inclusive, diverse, and affirming company culture; adherence to best practices concerning human resources and worker health and safety; impact on local communities and economic benefits (which may include preservation of indigenous communities and land), tenant stakeholder engagement and, as needed, controlling supplier manufacturing processes
- **Governance:** Assessment of business integrity and ensuring sound corporate governance,

---

<sup>1</sup> This ESG Policy is intended to reflect the Firm’s general framework for managing ESG issues through the lifecycle of an investment. The Firm’s ability to direct or otherwise control the integration of ESG factors may vary among investments and will be subject to considerations outlined in this policy and other relevant documents. In cases where IPI determines there is limited ability to influence and control the consideration of ESG factors in connection with an investment, the Firm will only apply those elements of this ESG Policy that it determines to be practicable.

<sup>2</sup> In this policy, we are not using the terms “material” or “materiality” as they are used under the securities or other laws of the U.S. or any other jurisdiction, or as they are used in the context of financial statements and financial reporting.

investigating signs of corruption, money-laundering practices, and fraud; existing governance structure; management of conflicts of interest; and existing ESG management structures and policies

### III. Guiding Principles

IPI has selected the following principles, which align with the firm's commitments as a signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment and supporter of the Taskforce on Climate Related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD"), to guide the identification and management of ESG issues and opportunities in its investing activities and in its own operations. IPI through its ESG Policy hereby commits to:

1. **Integrating material ESG Factors** during the investment decision-making lifecycle, from pre-investment due diligence to the investment decision, and throughout IPI's ownership period
2. **Working with portfolio companies and providing appropriate levels of oversight** to manage and monitor material environmental, public health, safety, and social issues and to support the objective of improving performance and mitigating material ESG risks over time; and in the areas of audit, risk, and potential conflicts of interest
3. **Promoting internal awareness of IPI's ESG Principles** through a variety of avenues, which may include training, team update calls, and email distribution of program materials to facilitate participation across the firm and a clear understanding that upholding and abiding by our ESG principles is a shared obligation of all employees
4. **Assigning ESG oversight and responsibility** for executing IPI's ESG goals, led by a dedicated ESG Team and formal ESG Committee, which consists of a cross-section of the firm's employees, including senior and compliance leadership
5. **Striving for continual improvement and thought leadership** in our ESG integration approach, through a commitment to regularly reviewing the efficacy, relevance, and scope of material issues captured by IPI's policies, principles, and program activities
6. **Prioritizing, where material, environmental factors and climate change risks** by seeking to support portfolio companies in sourcing renewable energy, reducing consumption of natural resources, optimizing site mechanical efficiency, and adapting building design for resiliency against increasingly severe weather events
7. **Adhering to a standard of conduct** intended to avoid the appearance of unethical, unfair, or improper practices; supporting the payment of competitive and living wages and benefits to employees; and providing safe and healthy workplaces in alignment with national and local law
8. **Maintaining policies that prohibit bribery, fraud, and other improper payments** to public officials consistent with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar laws in other countries
9. **Encouraging open discussion and promoting transparency with key stakeholders** by providing information to limited partners on ESG matters and fostering a culture of collaborative engagement, where necessary and appropriate, on ESG issues with portfolio companies to understand and appreciate new and emerging material ESG issues, as well as value creation opportunities.

## IV. Roles and Responsibilities

IPI's ESG committee, led by the Head of ESG, serves as the overarching governing body that helps prioritize various ESG program initiatives and provides connectivity between the ESG team, senior leadership, and investment teams, with rotating committee members from across the firm participating on an as-needed basis. Formed in 2020, this group is guided by the principles outlined in this ESG Policy and is responsible for overseeing ESG integration processes for the Firm's investment activities, directing portfolio value creation strategy, setting investor and industry engagement priorities, and aligning on transparency and reporting initiatives.

In addition to meeting quarterly, through frequent and collaborative engagement with investment teams and portfolio companies, members of the ESG committee seek to ensure that IPI's responsible investment principles are implemented, evaluated, and updated in alignment with industry best practices. IPI's Compliance team is also responsible for ensuring adherence to this Policy and, along with the Head of ESG, conducting an annual review of policy refreshes and enhancements.

All IPI team members are expected to comply with this ESG Policy and any other ESG requirements as may be adopted by IPI from time to time.

## V. ESG Integration Framework<sup>3</sup>

When developing IPI's ESG Integration Framework, industry and sector-specific guidance and standards (e.g., SASB, TCFD, Schneider Electric, etc.) were referenced to help ensure that material ESG issues relevant to IPI's investment strategy are addressed during ESG early screening, ESG due diligence, investment approval, and portfolio monitoring phases of its investment lifecycle. The manner in which IPI implements its ESG Integration Framework may vary depending on the nature of the investment. For example, IPI intends for its implementation of its ESG Integration Framework to appropriately reflect whether a prospective or current investment is an investment in an operating asset or entity (an "Operating Asset Investment") versus a greenfield or other land parcel (a "Land Investment"), as well as the degree of operational control IPI will have post-investment, and accordingly has worked to prepare applicable Firm personnel to appropriately account for such differences when implementing IPI's ESG Integration Framework. For example, IPI understands that salient differences between Operating Asset Investments and Land Investments or its different investment verticals are likely to influence IPI's evaluation of which ESG topics are considered material during the pre-investment and post-investment period among such investments.

### 1. Pre-Investment Due Diligence Procedures:

With guidance and oversight of IPI's ESG Team, IPI investment teams seek to review material ESG factors for all investments the Funds may pursue and provide an assessment of material ESG risks and opportunities to the Investment Committee. When material risks are identified, external

---

<sup>3</sup> IPI's ability to implement its ESG Integration Framework (including implementation of pre-investment diligence processes, as well as the collection and monitoring of ESG-related information during ownership) may vary depending on the nature of the investment, including the investment vertical and whether IPI will have operational control of such investment. For example, where a facility is built-to-suit and operated by the client leasing such facility, IPI in that instance would have limited operational oversight or visibility into ESG elements of that facility. In addition, the type of KPIs requested is expected to vary depending on the operation of the investment.

advisors, consultants, and local authorities responsible for environmental or social-related state or community departments may be engaged to carry out additional ESG-related due diligence, as needed. Specific steps in IPI’s pre-investment ESG Due Diligence for both Land Investments and Operating Asset Investments are expected to include:

- ESG Early Screen:** Deal Teams engage the ESG Team to perform a preliminary screen identifying potentially material ESG risks that, as determined in IPI’s discretion, may need to be addressed during a transaction’s formal due diligence period.<sup>4</sup> The results of early screening are discussed on a dedicated ESG Early Screen call, during which Deal Teams and the ESG Team agree on a customized plan for diligence based on early screen findings. IPI may determine in its discretion, based on the findings of the ESG Early Screen, that further ESG due diligence beyond the ESG Early Screen is unnecessary depending on the degree of the prospective investment’s exposure to material ESG risks or based on other factors.
- ESG Due Diligence:** Where IPI determines ESG due diligence beyond the ESG Early Screen is appropriate, the ESG Team delivers a customized ESG Due Diligence checklist to Deal Teams that reflects potentially material ESG issues identified during the ESG Early Screen and contains associated diligence questions for consideration. Deal Teams are expected to use the checklist to guide due diligence focus areas and to determine if additional mitigation efforts are needed throughout the formal due diligence period prior to bringing forward the transaction for investment committee approval. The table on the following page includes illustrative ESG due diligence focus areas and sample metrics that IPI may consider incorporating in its due diligence process as IPI deems appropriate.

**FIGURE 1: ILLUSTRATIVE ESG DUE DILIGENCE FOCUS AREAS AND METRICS**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Energy</b>                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Grid renewable energy proportion (% solar, wind, and hydro)</li> <li>- Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE)</li> <li>- Utility provider renewable energy goals</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Carbon</b>                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Local grid carbon intensity (grams CO2e / kWh)</li> <li>- Adaptive reuse construction opportunities for brownfield sites</li> <li>- GHG inventory (carbon performance of operating assets, if available)</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Water</b>                           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Water availability and planned source (utility supplied or reclaimed water)</li> <li>- Water intensity of intended cooling technology (air-based chillers or liquid cooling)</li> <li>- Water Usage Effectiveness (water efficiency of operating assets)</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Waste &amp; Circularity</b>         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Local zero waste regulations applicable to data centers</li> <li>- Planned waste heat reuse capacity (Energy Reuse Factor)</li> <li>- Waste generated and percent diverted for operating assets (tons, %)</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Land, Nature &amp; Biodiversity</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proximity to biodiversity hotspots (overlapping, adjacent, not nearby)</li> <li>- Environmental contamination (results of Phase I ESA)</li> <li>- Extent of tree removal required (number of trees, percent of site)</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Climate Change</b>                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Water stress exposure (% water demand to supply in 2030)</li> <li>- Flooding exposure (meters from 1-in-500 year flood zone)</li> <li>- Wildfire exposure (land fraction burnt on average at least once a year)</li> <li>- Heat stress exposure (absolute change in mean air temperature in 2030)</li> <li>- Hurricane exposure (relative change in wind speed in 2030)</li> </ul> |

<sup>4</sup> For the avoidance of confusion, the early screen is not a screen against an “exclusions” list.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Health &amp; Safety</b>               | - Workforce safety performance (e.g. total incidents, near misses)<br>- Workforce safety prevention (e.g. number of trainings completed)<br>- Health and safety oversight (e.g. number of dedicated personnel) |
| <b>Diversity, Equity &amp; Inclusion</b> | - Workforce gender and racial diversity (% women, % minorities)<br>- Leadership gender and racial diversity (% women, % minorities)<br>- Training and inclusion initiatives                                    |
| <b>Community Impact</b>                  | - Community sentiment and planned value-added projects<br>- Net new job creation (number of jobs created)<br>- Noise pollution (decibels above allowable limit)  |
| <b>Government Relations</b>              | - Zoning and permitting status of land for data center development<br>- Local policy engagement strategy   |
| <b>Business Ethics</b>                   | - Contractor whistleblower policy<br>- JV partner business ethics violations   |
| <b>Corporate Governance</b>              | - Existing ESG oversight for operating companies<br>- JV partnership structure and operating control   |

- **IC Memo Summary:** Deal Teams summarize material ESG due diligence findings into an investment committee memo and submit to the ESG Team for review. The ESG Team confirms whether any further information is needed from the deal team or relevant advisors and consolidates the deal team’s material findings and commentary into a standardized summary table that is included in investment committee memos.
- **Investment Decision:** The investment committee discusses any outstanding material ESG issues and considers them part of the overall risk and opportunity profile of the investment. At the time of a final investment decision, all known ESG issues are expected to either be resolved or have a clear path to resolution deemed satisfactory to IPI that can be addressed in post-investment stages.

## 2. Post-Investment Monitoring

Material ESG factors, as determined by IPI’s investment professionals, that are identified in the due diligence process may inform the development of ESG metrics that IPI monitors during ownership. Such factors are intended to support and encourage portfolio companies, joint venture partners, and operators to continually improve ESG performance. For investments made through STACK<sup>5</sup>, IPI’s approach to portfolio data collection, investment monitoring and reporting is as follows:

- **KPI Selection and Data Reporting Tools:** IPI prioritizes collecting KPIs that we determine correlate with expected financial performance measures and material ESG focus areas. IPI seeks to leverage technology platforms where practical to support data collection processes, both for portfolio carbon accounting and ongoing ESG investment monitoring.

<sup>5</sup> The Firm’s Funds have historically made investments into primary data center strategies, the majority of which fall under the Firm’s wholly-owned, vertically integrated operating and development platform, STACK Infrastructure (“STACK”). The applicable IPI Fund typically maintains indicia of control over STACK investments. The Firm also maintains, and plans to expand, investments in fiber and hotel carrier network platforms, which may entail varying levels of control and types of assets.

- **Portfolio Monitoring & Reporting:**

- **Quarterly Reporting Requirements:** IPI requests that portfolio companies report select ESG metrics on a quarterly basis during Board of Director meetings. This can include distinct qualitative and quantitative ESG disclosures included in board memo materials.
- **Annual ESG Monitoring Survey:** IPI intends to collect a comprehensive set of ESG metrics on an annual basis through an ESG monitoring survey. While the survey focuses on quantitative metrics, IPI seeks to supplement this data through qualitative interviews to assess risk management protocols, major resource efficiency initiatives, community impact projects, and progress toward implementing distinct regional ESG roadmaps.
- **Annual ESG Performance Reviews:** IPI's ESG team reviews ESG monitoring survey responses and hosts dedicated ESG performance review sessions with portfolio sustainability and social impact leads.

## VI. Sustainability Outcomes and Portfolio Value Creation

For investments made through STACK<sup>6</sup> and/or for investments where a Fund maintains operational control, IPI seeks to assist portfolio companies in their efforts to source renewable energy, manage consumption, conserve resources, and consider lower carbon alternatives in construction. To better define specific environmental and social sustainability objectives and value creation areas, IPI has created the following examples of potential positive sustainability attributes that it may seek to evaluate and support for applicable investments:

- **Resource Efficiency:** Allows for use of the Earth's limited resources in a sustainable manner, while minimizing impacts on the environment; this category of digital infrastructure allows for the potential of increased economic growth and acceleration of connectivity through delivering greater value with less input
- **Renewable Energy & Sustainable Power:** Electricity generation and storage technologies with energy supply inputs sourced or purchased in the form of RECs from renewable resources including wind, solar, fuel cells, batteries, distributed energy resources systems, combined heat and power, thermal energy, hydrogen, and zero carbon nuclear
- **Resource Reuse & Recovery:** Use of wastes as an input material to create valuable products as new outputs or recovering and preserving natural resources to reduce wasteful outputs; these solutions mitigate waste that is generated, thereby potentially reducing the need for landfill space, and optimizing the values created from waste
- **Green Buildings & Clean Transportation:** Design of facilities in accordance with internationally recognized green building standards or certifications where feasible to do so (e.g. LEED, BREEM, SS 564 Singapore Green Data Center Standard, NABERS) enabling greater access to clean transportation and electric charging, and identifying and sourcing lower-carbon building materials that reduce embedded carbon emissions identified through Whole Building Lifecycle Analysis

---

<sup>6</sup> Please refer to the definition in footnote 5 above.

- **Health, Safety, & Community Good:** Solutions supporting improvement and preservation of the health, safety, and economic well-being of local stakeholders and their communities, including infrastructure that helps reduce hazard and fatality incidents, protects against disasters and the impacts of climate change, or creates new jobs in digital infrastructure

---

This policy is applicable to all Funds and investments. This policy was last updated in **March 2024** and will be reviewed and updated on an ongoing basis as determined by IPI's ESG Committee. Progress for the various items in the policy are at multiple stages of deployment. In this regard, we seek discussion with, and welcome input from, our investors that are interested in the content and implementation of our ESG policy.



**Matt A'Hearn**  
Managing Partner  
*ESG Committee Executive Sponsor*



**Bethany Brantley**  
Head of ESG  
*ESG Committee Chair*

# Climate-related Disclosures

For calendar year 2022, published September 2023

The following is an excerpt from IPI’s ESG Report that it publishes annually to its investors and represents IPI’s first reporting of climate information aligned with the recommendations of the Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). IPI’s reporting period typically takes place in Q3 of each calendar year covering data and disclosures for the most recent full calendar year.

In guiding our approach to TCFD Implementation, we reference the three-phase action plan for GPs following the Principles for Responsible Investment’s “TCFD for Private Equity General Partners: A Technical Guide,” around which our 2022-2023 efforts have focused on priorities within phase 1, outlined below.

**Implementing three phases of “TCFD for Private Equity General Partners: A Technical Guide”**

PROGRESS DEFINITIONS 1 2 3 Advanced Application 1 2 3 Intermediate Application 1 2 3 Novice Application

|                   | TCFD Pillars: Phase 1   |                                     |  | TCFD Pillars: Phase 2   |   | TCFD Pillars: Phase 3  |  |  |   |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
|                   | GOVERNANCE              | GOVERNANCE                          | STRATEGY                               | STRATEGY  | RISK MANAGEMENT   | RISK MANAGEMENT  | METRICS & TARGETS  | RISK MANAGEMENT  | METRICS & TARGETS   |
| <b>OBJECTIVES</b> | Raise climate awareness | Define climate dedicated governance | Develop simplified Implementation plan | Conduct materiality assessment of current portfolio to identify risk exposure | Define key climate performance indicators for investment holdings | Fully integrate climate considerations into investment process | Support portfolio with guidance and tools to address climate risks | Conduct yearly review of portfolio to assess progress towards objectives | Annually collect data on climate KPIs to measure progress |
| <b>PROGRESS</b>   | 1 2 3                   | 1 2 3                               | 1 2 3                                  | 1 2 3   | 1 2 3   | 1 2 3  | 1 2 3  | 1 2 3  | 1 2 3   |

## TCFD Pillar #1: Governance

### OBJECTIVE: RAISE CLIMATE AWARENESS THROUGHOUT THE ORGANIZATION

Successfully embedding climate considerations into our business depends on close collaboration across teams.

- › **Across our firm:** We believe gaining support and buy-in from investment professionals and senior leadership is a critical prerequisite to implementing a firm-wide climate strategy. This year, we held two dedicated ESG due diligence trainings, portions of which focused on educating investment teams on strategies for identifying and mitigating climate-related risks using open-source data and analytical tools for conducting preliminary site assessments. Additionally, every quarter, IPI presents updates on our climate journey and implementation roadmap to executive leadership during ESG committee meetings.
- › **Across our portfolio:** We engage with our operating businesses and executive leadership on climate change issues through various means. This year, we initiated an in-depth engagement focused on carbon measurement with STACK, sponsoring their first-ever global GHG inventory for 2020, 2021, and 2022 with a third-party carbon accounting software solution. Through one of our dedicated portfolio engagement channels, the STACK Sustainability Council, we also launched a climate risk working group of sustainability and engineering-focused employees to share regional priorities and best practices regarding climate change resiliency measures taken during STACK’s design and construction phase.
- › **Across our investor base:** In April 2023, we hosted our first “IPI Insider ESG Series” webinar for our investors, providing a deep dive overview of the intersectionality of sustainability and the



data center industry, with a focus on climate-related opportunities pursued across our STACK platform.

- › **Across our industry:** This year we also took several steps to support raising climate awareness across our broader industry. We supported integration of climate-related curriculum in higher education by accepting an invitation from Brown University to guest lecture a graduate course session on how climate change risks impact investment strategies in the real estate sector. Finally, we also spoke at two key industry events, including PEI's Responsible Investment Forum NY "27 Years to Net Zero, Are We on Track?" session, and IMN's ESG and Decarbonization in Real Estate Forum "Demystifying Scope 3 Emissions" session.

#### **OBJECTIVE: DEFINE CLIMATE-RELATED GOVERNANCE**

Our approach to climate governance mirrors that of our broader ESG governance structure. At the firm level, our Head of ESG, Bethany Brantley, is directly responsible for IPI's climate strategy and reports to the firm's Managing Partner. We took several actions over the last year to further develop our climate-specific governance, including prioritizing environmental and climate economics backgrounds in our recruitment when adding a dedicated Associate to our ESG team. We also expanded the scope of our ESG policy to explicitly reference climate change as a material focus area for our ESG integration framework.

#### **TCFD Pillar #2: Strategy**

##### **OBJECTIVE: DEVELOP SIMPLIFIED IMPLEMENTATION PLAN**

In collaboration with investment and asset management teams, we presented a simplified implementation plan to IPI's ESG Committee, providing an overview of critical macro-level risks and opportunities relevant to our sector and a preliminary roadmap laying our priority action items in the near and long term. When narrowing in on physical climate risks, we leveraged desk research to understand which extreme weather and climate perils were most relevant to impacts on data center assets, emphasizing those most likely to affect the financial performance of our holdings. For transition-focused climate risks, we focus on those proposed by TCFD, including Policy and Legal, Technology, Market, and Reputational Risks. The following page demonstrates how we have mapped climate and transition risks to potential financial impacts, including examples of mitigation measures and strategies to drive value creation.

##### **OBJECTIVE: CONDUCTING MATERIALITY ANALYSIS ON CURRENT PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS TO IDENTIFY CLIMATE RISK EXPOSURE**

We leveraged open-source data from [ThinkHazard!](#), a tool published by the World Bank and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association, that tracks the impact of global natural disasters and climate events on infrastructure and real assets, to conduct an initial analysis of active holdings covering both market and asset level exposures to heat stress, water stress, wildfire, flood, and hurricanes. We are actively working to improve our portfolio-wide materiality analysis approach by exploring more granular location data and applying forward-looking climate scenarios we plan to source from a third-party data provider.

## Example Potential Physical and Transition Climate Risks and Associated Business Impacts

| CATEGORY                | ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS   | ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES OF POTENTIAL RISK MITIGATION MEASRES   |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| <b>PHYSICAL RISKS</b>   |  |  |
| FLOOD EXPOSURE          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Facility damage and downtime</li> <li>&gt; Equipment water damage</li> </ul>                                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Using 500-year flood zone studies instead of 100-year</li> <li>&gt; Setting higher thresholds for floor elevation height</li> </ul>              |
| WILDFIRE EXPOSURE       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Building shell damage from fire</li> <li>&gt; Equipment damage from smoke</li> </ul>                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Using non-combustible and fire-resistant building materials</li> <li>&gt; Ensuring industrial filter systems to keep out particulates</li> </ul> |
| HURRICANE EXPOSURE      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Facility damage from extreme winds</li> <li>&gt; Transmission failure and grid downtime</li> </ul>           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Raising standard windspeed thresholds in new construction</li> <li>&gt; Increasing redundancy of backup power supply</li> </ul>                  |
| HEAT STRESS EXPOSURE    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Equipment downtime, or contractual penalties, due to failure of AC systems</li> </ul>                        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Backup cooling systems in case of primary system failure</li> <li>&gt; Specifying higher operating temperatures in contracts</li> </ul>          |
| WATER STRESS EXPOSURE   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Inability to secure water rights for sites</li> <li>&gt; Increased water prices and cooling costs</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Seeking out proprietary water supplies when feasible</li> <li>&gt; Using air-based or closed loop liquid cooling systems</li> </ul>              |
| <b>TRANSITION RISKS</b> |  |  |
| POLICY AND LEGAL RISK   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Emerging carbon taxes and data center energy and water consumption limitations</li> </ul>                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Monitoring upcoming regulations and engaging with policymakers and portfolio policy teams</li> </ul>   |
| TECHNOLOGY RISK         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Environmental innovations adopted by competitor facilities attract more business</li> </ul>                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Investing in technologies that support sustainability performance improvements across operating platforms</li> </ul>                             |
| MARKET RISK             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Shifting client demand toward data centers with leading sustainability performance</li> </ul>                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Ensuring frequent client engagement to understand future needs regarding data center sustainability performance</li> </ul>                       |
| REPUTATIONAL RISK       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Perception of data center industry drain on global natural resources</li> </ul>                              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Sponsoring local climate-positive projects in regions of operation to offset impact and generate good will</li> </ul>                            |

## Example Potential Climate Opportunities

### OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTIONS

#### RESOURCE EFFICIENCY

- > Investing in data centers with leading energy and water efficiency reduces operating costs for tenants, which can support leasing negotiations with existing and new tenants

#### ENERGY SOURCE

- > Investing in data centers with reduced dependence on fossil fuels for UPS systems and carbon intensive electricity hedges against potential future increased energy costs

#### NEW PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

- > Developing green data center offerings such as Sustainable Basis of Designs that help clients meet their sustainability goals can support leasing negotiations with existing and new tenants

#### ACCESS TO CAPITAL

- > Incorporating sustainability into data center design can contribute to lower cost of capital for construction and more financing options through green financing instruments

#### BUSINESS RESILIENCE

- > Enhancing resiliency to physical climate risks, such as reduced exposure to impacts of heat and water stress can prevent spikes in resource costs and reduce business interruptions

### **TCFD Pillar #3: Risk Management**

#### **OBJECTIVE: DEFINE KEY CLIMATE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR EACH PORTFOLIO HOLDING**

We currently measure and track several climate-related KPIs across our portfolio, including total energy and water consumption, renewable energy use, operational energy and water efficiency, and carbon emissions. Additionally, we have supported our portfolio using climate-related KPIs in green financing opportunities, helping them secure over \$2B in green loans across four transactions between 2022-2023. Later in this section, we cover carbon emissions disclosure and associated reduction efforts of our operating platform, STACK.

#### **OBJECTIVE: FULLY INTEGRATING CLIMATE CONSIDERATIONS WITHIN THE INVESTMENT PROCESS**

We recently refined our physical climate risk screen during pre-investment due diligence to include forward-looking estimates of critical hazard exposure at the site and region level using open-source climate data, including the World Resources Institute's Water Risk Atlas and Aqueduct Flood tools. Looking ahead, we are exploring third-party climate data providers to find a tool that adds value for investment professionals. We are committed to continuing to embed climate into the investment process in partnership with our investment teams.

### **TCFD Pillar #4: Metrics & Targets**

#### **OBJECTIVE: SUPPORT PORTFOLIO WITH TOOLS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO ADDRESS CLIMATE RISK AND OPPORTUNITY**

We supported our portfolio by sponsoring a carbon accounting tool so that STACK could take the first critical step in climate transition risk management by measuring their baseline GHG emissions for the last three years. We plan to give our portfolio companies access to any additional climate tools we may invest in to ensure efficient collaboration.

#### **OBJECTIVE: CONDUCT YEARLY REVIEWS OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS TO ASSESS PROGRESS TOWARD CLIMATE OBJECTIVES**

We recently held our first annual portfolio ESG performance reviews with leadership teams from each of STACK's five operating regions. This included a preliminary discussion on perceived physical climate risk exposure, existing adaptation measures, and feedback on carbon performance. We plan to continue these reviews annually and expand the scope of climate objectives covered as needed.

### **Carbon Transparency**

At IPI, we approach GHG emissions management collaboratively with our portfolio. As a financial institution, the vast majority of IPI's GHG footprint lives within Scope 3, Category 15 of the Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Investments. Thus, for the purposes of GHG reporting in 2022, we considered IPI's carbon footprint as equivalent to our portfolio. We helped our portfolio calculate its location- and market-based GHG emissions across Scopes 1-3 for reporting years 2020-2022 per the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard and we are actively working to increase the quality of emissions reporting, putting in place a detailed GHG inventory Management Plan to educate and scale improved accounting methodologies across their global business.

When calculating emissions, we utilize activity-based, direct-operational data where possible and spend-based data only where activity data are unavailable. We then convert data (in carbon dioxide equivalent, CO2e) using third-party verified emissions factors. The chart below outlines our GHG inventory boundary, emission sources, and calculation methodology. On the following page, we provide the full quantitative breakdown of our 2022 Scope 1-3 emissions.

**FIGURE 1: GHG INVENTORY BOUNDARY, EMISSION DATA SOURCES, AND METHODOLOGY**

| SCOPE DETAIL                                | EMISSIONS SOURCES  | DATA SOURCES & METHODOLOGY  |
|---|--|---|
| <b>SCOPE 1</b>                              |  |   |
| <b>FUGITIVE EMISSIONS</b>                   | Refrigerants used in data center cooling systems   | <b>Activity-based</b> approach, using data from refrigerant logs as well as estimates based on equipment type when actual data is not available   |
| <b>FIXED FUELS</b>                          | Diesel consumption from backup generators  | <b>Activity-based</b> approach, using data from fuel logs, as well as estimates based on equipment type when actual data is not available   |
| <b>MOBILE FUELS</b>                         | Company owned or operated vehicle fuel use   | <b>Activity-based</b> approach, using data from fuel logs, as well as estimates based on vehicle type when actual data is not available   |
| <b>SCOPE 2</b>                              |  |   |
| <b>PURCHASED ELECTRICITY</b>                | Electricity consumed at data centers owned & operated by STACK/employee office space                   | <b>Activity-based</b> approach, using electric utility consumption across global data centers and offices converted to carbon emissions using grid-specific (US sites) and country-specific (non-US sites) conversion factors |
| <b>SCOPE 3</b>                              |  |   |
| <b>PURCHASED GOODS AND SERVICES</b>         | All operational business expenditures  | <b>Spend-based</b> approach, using data pulled from general ledger accounting data  |
| <b>CAPITAL GOODS</b>                        | Data center construction materials, including mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (MEP) equipment     | <b>Hybrid activity and spend-based</b> approach, using a combination of whole building Life-Cycle Assessments (LCAs) and general ledger accounting data   |
| <b>FUEL &amp; ENERGY RELATED ACTIVITIES</b> | Upstream impacts of electricity production and distribution  | <b>Activity-based</b> approach using location-specific grid power makeup and transmission and distribution losses   |
| <b>UPSTREAM T&amp;D</b>                     | Land and freight shipping services.  | <b>Spend-based</b> approach, data pulled from general ledger accounting data  |
| <b>WASTE GENERATED IN OPERATIONS</b>        | Waste generated across global leased offices and owned and operated data center facilities             | <b>Activity-based</b> approach using waste utility bills, as well as estimates based on facility type and area when actual utility data is not available  |
| <b>BUSINESS TRAVEL</b>                      | Business air travel, train travel, rental cars, and hospitality  | <b>Hybrid activity and spend-based</b> approach, using data from internal travel booking systems as well as general ledger accounting data  |
| <b>EMPLOYEE COMMUTING</b>                   | All modes of employee commuting to global offices, as well as energy usage associated with remote work | <b>Activity-based</b> approach (US Employees only) using data from an annual employee commute survey, as well as estimated energy consumption associated with U.S. employees working from home                                |

**FIGURE 2: 2022 GLOBAL EMISSIONS PROFILE (MT CO2E, LOCATION-BASED)**

| SCOPE                          | EMISSION CATEGORY                      | MT CO2e                |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| <b>SCOPE 1</b>                 | Fugitive Emissions                     | 5,707                  |
|                                | Fixed Fuels                            | 2,462                  |
|                                | Mobile Fuels                           | 635                    |
| <b>TOTAL SCOPE 1 EMISSIONS</b> |  | <b>8,803 MT CO2E</b>   |
| <b>SCOPE 2</b>                 | Purchased Electricity (location-based) | 171,408                |
| <b>TOTAL SCOPE 2 EMISSIONS</b> |  | <b>171,408 MT CO2E</b> |
| <b>SCOPE 3</b>                 | Purchased Goods & Services             | 51,218                 |
|                                | Capital Goods                          | 53,741                 |
|                                | Fuel/Energy Related Activities (FERA)  | 54,782                 |
|                                | Upstream T&D                           | 61                     |
|                                | Waste Generated in Operations          | 418                    |
|                                | Business Travel                        | 1,098                  |
|                                | Employee Commuting                     | 237                    |
| <b>TOTAL SCOPE 3 EMISSIONS</b> |  | <b>161,556 MT CO2E</b> |